Convective heat transfer in a dusty ferromagnetic fluid over a stretching surface with prescribed surface temperature/heat flux including heat source/sink
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Abstract: The aim of the present paper was to investigate the boundary layer flow and heat transfer in a dusty fluid with ferromagnetic particles over a flat stretching sheet including the effect of magnetic dipole. By using suitable similarity transformations, the governing partial differential equations of momentum and energy were reduced into non-linear ordinary differential equations. The resulting differential equations with corresponding boundary conditions were solved numerically by employing shooting based fourth order Runge-Kutta method. The effect of controlling parameters such as ferromagnetic interaction parameter, mixed convection parameter, Eckert number, Prandtl number, the number of dust particles and heat generation/absorption parameter on the temperature and velocity profiles are considered graphically for two types of heating processes, namely, the prescribed surface temperature (PST) and the prescribed heat flux (PHF). The value of skin friction coefficient and Nusselt number are presented in tabular form for different values of the governing parameters. It is found that the value of local Nusselt number increases with an increase of the value of heat source/sink parameter for both PST and PHF cases. The present results were compared with previously published data for a special case and an excellent agreement was found.
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INTRODUCTION

Ferrofluids are made from magnetic nano-particles suspended uniformly in base liquid and has an average size of 5 – 10 nm (Rosensweig et al., 1965). Ferrofluid flow and energy transport can be controlled by using an external magnetic field. These fluids have attracted the attention of many scientists and researchers because of their numerous applications in engineering, including microfluidic actuators, lithographic patterning, micro-electro mechanical system (MEMS), seal technology, optical and sensor applications, and lubrication of bearings and dumpers. Ferrofluids can also be used in treating cancer by heating the tumor soaked in ferrofluids by means of an alternating magnetic field (Feynman et al., 1963; Ganguly et al., 2004; Shliomis, 2004; Song et al., 2006; Strek & Jopek, 2007; Chang et al., 2009).

The impact of non-uniform magnetic field on forced convective heat transfer of ferrofluid by applying the finite element method has been analysed (Sheikholeslami et al., 2015). The study showed that the Nusselt number is directly proportional to the Reynolds number and nano-particle volume fraction, although it is reversely associated with the Hartmann number. Effect of applied magnetic field on the flow of heated ferrofluid in a semi annulus enclosure using the control volume finite element method (CVFEM) was illustrated by Sheikholeslami and Ganji (2014). Heat transfer analysis of water-based Fe₃O₄ ferrofluid through a mini channel in the presence of constant and alternating magnetic fields was discussed by Ghasemian et al. (2015). Free convection of Fe₃O₄ – water-based nanofluid with ferrohydrodynamic (FHD) and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) has been proposed
by Sheikholeslami and Rashidi (2015). The thermal behaviour of ferrofluid in a vertical pipe in the presence of a non-uniform magnetic field was studied (Aminfar et al., 2011). The study used a two phase model and established that there is an increase in heat transfer coefficient when the magnetic field gradient is negative and velocity distribution becomes more uniform. The study of nanofluid flow past a nonlinear isothermal stretching sheet in the presence of porous medium has been examined analytically by Rashidi et al. (2014a). The natural convection of ferrofluid flow in a linearly heated cavity was performed by Kefayati (2014), and showed that heat transfer decreases as the volume fraction of ferromagnetic particle increases.

Heat transfer analysis in dusty fluid flow over a flat stretching surface has gained much interest due to its numerous science and engineering applications such as metallurgical process and polymer extrusion process. The governing equations for dusty fluid has been modelled (Saffman, 1962) and the laminar flow of dusty gas was analysed, in which particles are distributed uniformly. More recently, the heat transfer effect on dusty fluid over a stretching sheet with the influence of non-uniform heat source/sink has been investigated (Gireesha et al., 2011). MHD boundary layer flow and heat transfer of nanofluid embedded with homogenous dust particles have also been discussed (Gireesha et al., 2015). Heat transfer in water-based nanofluid over a vertical stretching sheet with MHD impact was investigated (Rashidi et al., 2014b). Suspended particle effect on viscous nanofluid in the presence of porous medium past a stretching sheet was studied by Gireesha et al. (2014), where they considered four different classes of nanoparticles: copper (Cu), copper oxide (CuO), silver (Ag) and alumina (Al₂O₃). Unsteady laminar free convective nanofluid flow in the presence of a transverse magnetic field has been reviewed (Freidoonimehr et al., 2015). It was found that by decreasing the nano-particle volume fraction, there is a decrease in the skin friction coefficient. The steady MHD flow of viscous fluid between radially stretching sheets filled with a porous medium under the influence of Dufour and Soret effects was investigated by Nawaz et al. (2012). The influence of Brownian motion and thermophoresis on the axisymmetric boundary layer flow of nanofluid induced by radial stretching sheet was also considered (Nawaz & Hayat, 2014). The two-dimensional boundary layer flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid towards a stretching surface with magnetic field effects was discussed (Akbar et al., 2013). Some recent studies associated with heat transfer and ferromagnetic fluid were carried out by Majeed et al. (2016a; 2016b), Rehman et al. (2017), Zeeshan and Majeed (2016), and Shehzad et al. (2016).

The purpose of the present study was to explore the heat transfer analysis of dusty fluid with magnetic particles past a stretching surface in the presence of dipole effect. In addition, the effect of several physical parameters on dimensionless velocity and temperature profile was analysed for two types of boundary conditions: prescribed surface temperature (PST) and prescribed heat flux (PHF).

### MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

#### Flow analysis

Consider the two-dimensional steady incompressible boundary layer flow and heat transfer of viscous dusty fluid with ferromagnetic particles past a stretching surface under the effect of applied magnetic field generated by magnetic dipole. A stretching sheet is considered along the x-axis with velocity \( u_x = cx \) and the y-axis is normal to the surface as shown in Figure 1. A magnetic dipole is situated on the centre of the y axis at distance ‘a’ from the sheet, whose magnetic field points in the positive x direction and gives rise to a magnetic field of sufficient strength to saturate the ferrofluid. It is also supposed that the uniform temperature at the surface of the sheet is \( T_w(x,t) \) and Curie temperature \( T_c \), while the temperature of the ambient ferrofluid far from the surface of the sheet is \( T_{w0} = T_c \) and unable to magnetise until they start to cool after entering into the thermal boundary layer area near the sheet.

![Figure 1: Geometry of the problem](image-url)
**Magnetic dipole**

The magnetic scalar potential of ferrofluid affected by the external magnetic field due to dipole effect is given as (Andersson & Valnes, 1998):

\[
\Phi = \gamma \left( \frac{x}{x^2 + (y+a)^2} \right).
\]

...(1)

where \( \gamma \) is the magnetic field strength at the source. Components of magnetic field intensity, \( H_x \) and \( H_y \) along the \( x \) and \( y \) directions are given below.

\[
H_x = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = \gamma \left( \frac{x^2 - (y+a)^2}{(x^2 + (y+a)^2)^2} \right),
\]

...(2)

\[
H_y = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = \gamma \left( \frac{2x(y+a)}{(x^2 + (y+a)^2)^2} \right).
\]

...(3)

The magnetic force is equivalent to the gradient of the magnitude of \( H \). After having expanded in powers of \( x \) and retained terms up to order \( x^2 \), we get,

\[
H = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right)^2},
\]

...(4)

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \left( \frac{2x}{(y+a)^4} \right),
\]

...(5)

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \left( \frac{-2}{(y+a)^3} + \frac{4x^2}{(y+a)^5} \right).
\]

...(6)

The variation of magnetisation \( M \) can be considered as a linear function of temperature as assumed by Andersson and Valnes (1998)

\[
M = K^* (T_c - T),
\]

...(7)

where \( K^* \) is a pyromagnetic coefficient and \( T_c \) is the Curie temperature. However, the following points are essential for the occurrence of ferrohydrodynamic: (i) fluid is at a temperature \( T \) different from \( T_c \) and (ii) the external magnetic field is inhomogeneous. Once the ferrofluid approaches to Curie temperature, there is no further magnetisation. Characteristics for physical point of view is very important, because the Curie temperature is very high; 1,043 K for iron.

**Dusty ferrofluid phase**

Using the boundary layer approximation, two-dimensional boundary layer equations of mass and momentum for dusty ferrofluid are (Nandkeolyar et al., 2013):

\[
\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial y} = \frac{K_n}{m} (u - u_p),
\]

...(8)

\[
\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial y} = \frac{K_n}{m} (v - v_p),
\]

...(9)

\[
\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial y} = \frac{K_n}{m} (u - u_p) + g \beta^* (T_c - T),
\]

...(10)

where \((u,v)\) and \((u_p,v_p)\) represent the velocity components of ferrofluid and dust particles along the \( x \) and \( y \) axis, respectively. \( \rho \) and \( \rho_p \) are densities of the ferrofluid and dust phase, respectively, \( K_n \) is the Stoke’s resistance coefficient, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \mu_0 \rho \nu = \mu_0 \rho \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( 0 \) \( \mu \) is the magnetic permeability, \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity, \( \beta^* \) is the volumetric coefficient of thermal expansion, \( m \) and \( N \) are the mass concentration and the number density of the particle phase, respectively, \( M \) is the magnetisation and \( H \) is the magnetic field. The terms \( \mu_0 M \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \) and \( \mu_0 M \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \) in equations (9) and (10) indicate the components of the magnetic body force per unit volume and rely on the existence of the magnetic gradient. These forces will be disappeared in the absence of magnetic gradient.
The corresponding boundary conditions related to the above problem are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= u_0(x) = cx, \quad v = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \\
    u &\to 0, \quad u_p \to 0, \quad v_p \to 0 \quad \rho_p \to E \rho \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty,
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( c > 0 \) is the stretching parameter of sheet and \( E \) is the density ratio. To convert the governing equations in dimensionless form, we consider the following similarity transformation

\[
\Psi(\xi, \eta) = \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\eta),
\]

\[
\xi = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\mu} x, \quad \eta = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\mu} y.
\]

The velocity components are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta} = cx f'(\eta), \quad v = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi} = -\sqrt{c v} f(\eta),
    \\
    u_p &= cx F(\eta), \quad v_p = \sqrt{c v} G(\eta), \quad \rho_p = \frac{\rho_p}{\rho} = W(\eta),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \rho_p \) is the relative density. Substituting equations (14) – (17) into the equations (9) – (13) and comparing the coefficients of \( \xi^2 \) up to order \( \xi^2 \), we get the following non-linear differential equations.

\[
\begin{align*}
    f'' + ff' - f'^2 &= \frac{2\beta_1}{(\eta + \alpha)} + l_1\alpha W(\eta)(F - f') + G^* \theta_1 = 0, \\
    f'' + ff' &= \frac{2\beta_1}{(\eta + \alpha)} - l_1\alpha W(\eta)(G + f') + G^* \theta_1 = 0, \\
    F'^2 + F'G - \alpha(f' - F) &= 0, \\
    GG' + \alpha(f + G) &= 0, \\
    FW + W' + WG' &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta = \frac{\beta_0}{2\rho_p^2} \mu_0 K^* (T_c - T_w) \) is the ferromagnetic interaction parameter, \( l_1 = \frac{mN}{\rho_p} \) is the mass concentration of dust particles, \( \alpha = \frac{1}{\tau_c} \) is the fluid particle interaction parameter, \( G^* = \frac{Gr_c}{Re_c^2} \) is the mixed convection parameter, \( Gr_c = \frac{\beta \beta_0 (T_c - T_w)}{v^2} \) is the local Grashof number, and \( \alpha_l = \frac{c_p}{\sqrt{\mu}} a \) is the dimensionless distance.

Using the transformation (15) – (17) in the boundary conditions equation (14) takes the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f &= 0, \quad f' = 1, \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0 \\
    f' &\to 0, \quad F &\to 0, \quad G &\to -f, \quad W &\to E \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

Heat transfer analysis

Heat transport equations for dusty ferrofluid for two-dimensional flow in the presence of heat generation/absorption are as follows (Nandkeolyar et al., 2013):

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{\partial T}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{\mu_0}{\rho c_p \tau} \partial M \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \eta} \right) + \frac{k}{\rho c_p \tau} \partial^2 T, \\
    \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial T_p}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{c_p}{\rho c_p \tau} (T_p - T),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( T \) and \( T_p \) are the temperature of ferrofluid and dust particle inside the boundary layer, respectively, \( Q_0 \) is the heat generation/absorption coefficient, the second term in the left-hand side of equation (24) represent the heating due to adiabatic magnetisation. \( \tau_p = m/K \) is the relaxation time of dust particle, \( k \) is the thermal conductivity and \( \tau_T \) is the thermal equilibrium time. \( c_p \) and \( c_m \) are the specific heat of ferromagnetic and dust particles, respectively.

The heat transfer analysis has been performed for two different types of boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
    T &= T_{w_c} = T_c - A \left( \frac{\xi}{f} \right)^2 \quad \text{for PST} \\
    -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} &= q_{w} = D \left( \frac{\xi}{f} \right)^2 \quad \text{for PHF} \\
    T &\to T_c, \quad T_p &\to T_c \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty
\end{align*}
\]
where $A$ and $D$ are positive constants and $l = \sqrt{l_c}$ is the characteristic length. Introducing the dimensionless variable for ferrofluid phase $\theta(\eta)$ and dust phase $\theta_p(\eta)$ temperature:

$$\theta(\xi, \eta) = \frac{T_c - T}{T_c - T_w} = \theta_1(\eta) + \xi^2 \theta_2(\eta), \quad \theta_p(\eta) = \frac{T_c - T_p}{T_c - T_w},$$

where

$$T_c - T_w = A \left( \frac{x}{l} \right)^2 \quad \text{for PST case},$$

$$T_c - T_w = D \left( \frac{x}{l} \right)^2 \sqrt{\nu_c} \quad \text{for PHF case}.$$

Using the similarity variable stated in equations (15) and (27) into equations (24) and (25) and equating coefficients up to $\xi^2$ on both sides, we get the following system of equations:

$$\theta_1'' + Pr(\xi F_f + c_1 N(\theta_p - \theta_1)) + c_2 N(F - f')^2 - 2 f' \theta_1 +,$$

$$f \theta_1' + H_1 \theta_1 = 0,$$

$$\theta_2'' + Pr(-c_1 N \theta_2 - 4 f' \theta_2 + f \theta_2' + H_1 \theta_2) - \lambda \beta (\theta_1 - \varepsilon)$$

$$\left[ \frac{2 f'}{(\eta + \alpha)} + \frac{4 f}{(\eta + \alpha)^2} \right] + \frac{2 \lambda \beta f \theta_2}{(\eta + \alpha)^2} = 0,$$

$$G \theta_p'' + 2 F \theta_p' + c_3 (\theta_p - \theta_1) = 0,$$

where $Pr = \frac{\mu c_p}{k}$ is the Prandtl number, $H_1 = \frac{Q_o}{c_p \rho c_p}$ is the heat source ($H_1 > 0$) or sink ($H_1 < 0$), $\lambda = \frac{\rho k (T_c - T_w)}{c_p (T_c - T_w)}$ is the viscous dissipation parameter, $Ec = \frac{U_w^2}{c_p (T_c - T_w)}$ is the Eckert number, $\varepsilon = \frac{T_c - T_w}{T_c - T_w}$ is the dimensionless Curie temperature ratio, $c_1 = \frac{1}{\rho c_p \tau_T}$ and $c_3 = \frac{c_p}{\rho m c_T}$ are the fluid particle interaction parameters for heat transfer, $c_2 = \frac{1}{\rho c_p \tau_T}$ is the fluid particle interaction parameter for velocity.

The thermal boundary conditions (26) are transformed as:

$$\theta_1' = 1, \quad \theta_2' = 0, \quad \text{for PST Case}$$

$$\theta_1' = -1, \quad \theta_2' = 0, \quad \text{for PHF Case}$$

at $\eta = 0$,

$$\theta_1 \to 0, \quad \theta_2 \to 0, \quad \theta_p \to 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty \quad (31)$$

The important physical parameters for practical interest, the local skin friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number can be expressed as:

$$C_{f_x} = \frac{-2 \tau_w}{\rho \left( \frac{\alpha}{c} \right)^2}, \quad Nu_x = \frac{x q_w}{-k(T_c - T)},$$

where $\tau_w$ is the shear stress and $q_w$ is the heat flux given by

$$\tau_w = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0},$$

(33)

Using the similarity variables, we get

$$Re^{1/2} C_f = -2 f' \left( 0 \right)$$

$$Nu_{x_1} / Re^{1/2} = - \left( \theta'_1 \left( 0 \right) + \xi^2 \theta_2' \left( 0 \right) \right) \quad \text{PST},$$

$$Nu_{x_2} / Re^{1/2} = 1 / \left( \theta'_1 \left( 0 \right) + \xi^2 \theta_2' \left( 0 \right) \right) \quad \text{PHF},$$

(34)

where $f' \left( 0 \right)$ is the dimensionless skin friction coefficient, $\theta' \left( 0 \right)$ is the dimensionless temperature gradient at sheet and $Re_x = \frac{\mu c_p x^2}{k}$ is the local Reynolds number. It is obvious that the flow is influenced by the ferromagnetic parameter $\beta$. It is more fascinating and suitable to replace the dimensionless wall heat transfer rate $-\dot{\theta}' = -\left[ \theta'_1 \left( 0 \right) + \xi^2 \theta_2' \left( 0 \right) \right]$ by the dimensionless and independent of the distance $\xi$ ratio $\theta^* \left( 0 \right) = \frac{\theta'_1 \left( 0 \right)}{\beta} = 0$ called heat transfer rate at the surface.

**METHODOLOGY**

Equations (18) to (22), (24) and (25) subject to boundary conditions (23) and (26) are highly non-linear, which are solved numerically by efficient Runge-Kutta method based on shooting technique. The higher-order differential equations are first reduced into a set of first order ODEs and then integrated as an initial value (IVP).
The step-size is taken as $\eta = 0.01$ trial values of $f'(0)$, $\theta_1(0)$, $\theta_2(0)$, $F(0)$ and $\phi_p(0)$ and were set iteratively by Newton-Raphson’s method in order to satisfy the far field boundary condition asymptotically, i.e. $\eta \to \infty$ is satisfied at a finite value (take $\eta = 10$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of various pertinent parameters, namely, the ferromagnetic parameter $\beta$, Prandtl number $Pr$, heat source/sink parameter $H_1$ and Eckert number $Ec$ in the flow and heat transfer of ferromagnetic and dusty fluid were investigated with the help of figures and tables. The default values of the parameters for computational work were taken as $Pr = 0.72$, $\lambda = 1.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.0$, $h_l = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 1$, $E = 1$, $N = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.2$, $G^* = 0.1$. To validate the accuracy of the present study, the value of $\theta_1(0)$ for different values of $Pr$ are given in Table 1. The present numerical results are found to be in good agreement with those of Pal and Mondal (2010), and Roopa et al. (2011). Further Table 2 displays the values of skin-friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number for two types of heating processes, namely, PST and PHF for different pertinent parameters.

The temperature distribution of ferrofluid $\theta_1(\eta)$ and dust phase $\theta_p(\eta)$ for various values of the ferromagnetic interaction parameter $\beta$ for two cases PST and PHF are illustrated graphically in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). The figure shows that the temperature increases for ferrofluid and dust phase as $\beta$ increases in both PST and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.08855</td>
<td>1.08863</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.33333</td>
<td>1.33334</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.99999</td>
<td>1.99999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50971</td>
<td>2.50971</td>
<td>2.50967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.93878</td>
<td>2.93878</td>
<td>2.93873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.31647</td>
<td>3.31648</td>
<td>3.31645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.65776</td>
<td>3.65777</td>
<td>3.65773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.97150</td>
<td>3.97151</td>
<td>3.97145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.26345</td>
<td>4.26345</td>
<td>4.26337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.53760</td>
<td>4.53760</td>
<td>4.53749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.79687</td>
<td>4.79687</td>
<td>4.79671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$H_1$</th>
<th>$Ec$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$f''(0)$</th>
<th>$\theta'(0)$</th>
<th>$1/\theta(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2145</td>
<td>-0.2841</td>
<td>0.7151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2566</td>
<td>-0.2732</td>
<td>0.7110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6924</td>
<td>-0.0159</td>
<td>0.5271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1585</td>
<td>-0.2676</td>
<td>0.7142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1582</td>
<td>-0.2358</td>
<td>0.7106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.1591</td>
<td>-0.2921</td>
<td>0.7181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1575</td>
<td>-0.3224</td>
<td>0.7770</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1558</td>
<td>-0.3317</td>
<td>0.8343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because of the reason that heat energy is stored in the fluid due to the frictional heating. By distributions for ferro and dusty fluid increases by increasing in the value of \(\beta\) and \(H_1\).

**Figure 2:** Influence of ferromagnetic parameter \((\beta)\) on temperature versus \(\eta\): for both (a) PST and (b) PHF cases

**Figure 3:** Influence of heat source/sink \((H_1)\) on temperature versus \(\eta\): for both (a) PST and (b) PHF cases

**Figure 4:** Influence of density of the dusty particle on temperature versus \(\eta\): for both (a) PST and (b) PHF cases
PHF cases. It was also noticed that the ferromagnetic interaction parameter $\beta$ demonstrates the influence of applied magnetic field produced by a magnetic dipole on the fluid dynamics. The existence of an applied magnetic field act as the delaying force on the velocity profile, then as $\beta$ increases, so does the delaying force. Hereafter the axial velocity decreases whereas the reverse trend is exhibited for the temperature profile as seen in Figure 2. It was observed that the temperature of the ferrofluid is higher than the dust phase.

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) depict the temperature distribution $\theta_1(\eta)$ and $\theta_p(\eta)$ for different values of heat source/sink parameter $H_1$. It is concluded that the thermal boundary generates the energy, and this results the temperature to increase with an increase in the heat source ($H_1 > 0$) parameter for PST and PHF, whereas the temperature decreases in the case of heat sink ($H_1 < 0$).

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the influence of number density ($N$) on temperature distribution $\theta_1(\eta)$ and $\theta_p(\eta)$ for PST and PHF. By analysing the graph, it is obvious that the temperature of ferrofluid and dust particles decreases with increasing the value of $N$. Also notice that the appearance of ferromagnetic particles is parallel to dust particles for both cases.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) represent the impact of mixed convection parameter $G^*$ on velocity profiles $f(\eta)$ and $F(\eta)$ for PST and PHF cases. The velocity of ferrofluid

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5:** Influence of mixed convection parameter ($G^*$) on velocity versus $\eta$: for both (a) PST and (b) PHF cases

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6:** Influence of mixed convection parameter ($G^*$) on temperature versus $\eta$: for both (a) PST and (b) PHF cases
and dust phase increases with increasing the value of mixed convection parameter $G^*$. The behaviour of buoyancy force is to accelerate the fluid within the boundary layer like a pressure gradient, whereas the effect of $G^*$ is to decrease the temperature profile within the boundary layer as pointed out in Figures 6(a) and 6(b).

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) indicate the effect of Eckert number ($Ec$) on temperature distribution $\theta_1(\eta)$ and $\theta_2(\eta)$ for both PST and PHF cases. From the graph it is observed that the temperature distributions for ferro and dusty fluid increase by increasing the value of $Ec$ for both cases, as the heat energy is stored in the fluid due to frictional heating. By increasing $Ec$ the temperature will enhance at any point and it is true in both cases.

**CONCLUSION**

In the present article, numerical analysis is performed to examine the heat transfer of ferromagnetic and dusty fluid flow past a stretching surface in the presence of magnetic dipole with viscous dissipation and heat source/sink. The influence of secondary parameters such as Eckert number ($Ec$), Prandtl number ($Pr$), density of dust particles ($N$) and ferromagnetic interaction parameter ($\beta$) on velocity and temperature profiles are discussed in detail. The present numerical results agreed very well with those available in literature when $\alpha = N = H_1 = \beta = Ec = G^* = 0$. Some of the key points from the present work are: temperature profiles increase for both ferrofluid and dust phase with increasing the value of $\beta$, $H_1$ and $Ec$; temperature distribution decreases for both ferrofluid and dust phases with increasing the values of $Pr$ and $N$; the values of local Nusselt number show an increasing effect for PST and PHF with the variation of $H_1$.
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