MICROTUBERS OF POTATO (*SOLANUM TUBEROSUM* L.) : *IN VITRO* CONSERVATION AND TISSUE CULTURE

D. P. PREMATILAKE* and M. H. MENDIS

*Plant Genetic Resources Centre, Gannoruwa, Peradeniya**(Received: 29 September 1997; accepted: 08 January 1999)*

Abstract: Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) microtubers are a valuable source for germplasm conservation and disease-free germplasm exchange. Microtuber induction potential of 32 potato cultivars were tested under *in vitro* conditions. Microtubers from 06 potato cultivars were stored at 4°C for 3 years and planted in soil. It was observed that tubers retained their viability under low temperature when tuber diameter was >5 mm. Such tubers produced healthy plantlets upon transfer to soil. Callusing and shoot initiation occurred from microtuber-core explants with different varietal response. Plants were recovered from these shoots by culturing in hormone-free growth medium.

Key words : Callogenesis, conservation, microtubers, potato, regeneration

INTRODUCTION

Potato is one of the world's most economically important tuber crops, belonging to the family *Solanaceae*. In Sri Lanka, potato is grown over an area of 7000 ha and the annual seed potato requirement is nearly 15,000 tonnes.¹ The conventional method of potato propagation is by seed tubers, which has the disadvantage of being contaminated with disease-causing pathogens. *In vitro* rapid multiplication of disease-free planting material provides a solution to this problem. Such material facilitates germplasm movement in quarantine aspects. The parental stocks can be maintained *in vitro* to ensure a clean source of plants from which tubers can be produced.

Microtubers of potato, formed *in vitro*, are small, less in weight and thus, handling in transportation and storage is easier in comparison to conventional seed potatoes. They are produced in place of axillary buds when potato shoot cultures are grown in the presence of high levels of sucrose.² Since microtubers are formed under aseptic conditions, they are free from disease-causing pathogens. Electrophoretic protein patterns in tubers have shown no appreciable genetic differences detected among field-grown tubers and *in vitro* - induced microtubers of potato.³ Therefore, microtubers are an excellent source for disease-free germplasm exchange of potato. Microtubers reduce the time taken to produce seed tubers, reduce the number of field generations required and hence result in higher quality seed tubers.²

---

* Corresponding author

Abbreviations : GA₃ (Gibberellic acid), CCC (Cholochlorine chloride), NAA (Naphthalene Acetic Acid), BAP (Benzyl Amino Purine), IAA (Indole-3-Acetic Acid), 2iP (N⁶-(δ 2-isopentenyl adenine)

Genetic improvement of a plant depends mainly on the availability and efficient induction of genetic variability. Some tissue culture technologies facilitate generation of somaclonal variation, which can be a useful tool in causing genetic changes, especially in a vegetatively propagated crop like potato. Earlier studies have revealed that somaclonal variation of potato has resulted in resistance to early blight⁴ and changes in tuber colour and flower colour.^{5,6} Callogenesis and plant regeneration from cultured tissue explants are basic requirements for the application of tissue culture for genetic enhancement of potato. Potato plants can be regenerated from explants such as leaves, stems, petioles and tubers following an intermediate callus phase. In addition, plants can be regenerated from protoplasts which have more variability than those directly regenerated from explants.² More recent work has confirmed that *in vitro* shoot regeneration of *Solanum* species is genetically controlled⁷ and hence it is essential to have a prior knowledge on the explant behaviour in culture and regeneration requirements. Since microtubers are disease-free materials, they are suitable to be used in tissue culture studies.

Within this context, studies were performed to find out the microtuber induction potential of 32 potato cultivars, to use microtubers as a source for germplasm conservation and to understand callogenesis and *in vitro* plant regeneration capability of microtuber explants.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Microtuber induction potential of potato

Shoots (3-4 cm) were obtained from *in vitro* - grown mother plants of 32 potato cultivars (Table 1). These shoots were cultured in MS⁸ - based liquid M₁ medium (Table 2) in glass tubes (1 shoot / 5 ml medium; 5-7 shoots / cv.). Cultures were maintained at 25°C under fluorescent light (40 $\mu\text{Es} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$; 10 h) to promote plantlet development. After 2-3 weeks, M₂ medium, designated for microtuber induction³, was incorporated into culture tubes (6 ml / tube) and the tubes were transferred to a dark room at 18°C. After 4-5 weeks, microtubers were harvested and recordings were made on tuber diameter, tuber weight and number of tubers per plant.

Table 1: Potato cultivars used for microtuber induction.

Cultivar name	Cultivar name
P-55.7	Muru
Pamina	(VTN)2-62-33.3
225.1	397 B
Murca	San Juan
Saturna	CEF 67.1
R-128.6	L:46/3
Mex 771949	Chiquita
Serrana Inta	Atzimba
Atlantic	Wauseon
I-1039	G-1
TS-2	394
17/13	381388.34
BR:112-113	444
KTT-60.21.19	ASN 69.1
G-6	G-5
Apollo	Desiree

Microtubers as a source of potato germplasm conservation

After harvesting, microtubers were washed with sterilized distilled water and wiped dry with pre-autoclaved filter papers. Tubers were then put into small, sterilized screw-capped glass vials (25 ml) and stored under dark conditions at 4°C. Microtubers (2-8 mm diameter) of 6 potato cultivars (5-10 tubers / cultivar) were used for this study.

Three years later, tubers were transferred to ambient temperature (25 ± 1°C). One week afterwards, these microtubers were planted in a mixture of sand and compost (2:1) in 5 cm plastic pots. Recordings were made on the number of microtubers germinated after 6 weeks of planting.

Callogenesis and plant regeneration ability of microtuber explants

Microtubers of 6 potato cultivars were tested for their ability in initiate calli and subsequent plant regeneration. Explants were prepared by removing the outer surface of tubers and cutting the tuber-core into square pieces (0.5x 0.5 x 0.1 cm³). These explants were cultured on M₃ medium (Table 2) in 9 cm Petri dishes (5-6 explants / 25ml medium; 5 Petri dishes/ cultivar). Cultures were incubated at 25°C at 40 μ Es⁻¹ m⁻² for 10h. Three and 6 weeks after culturing, recordings were made on the number of explants with callus, number of calli with shoots and the total number of shoots produced from each cultivar. Shoots regenerated thus, were separated from mother explants and cultured on agar-solidified M₄ medium (Table 2) in glass jars (2-3 shoots/ 25ml medium) to promote plant development.

Table 2: Composition of M₁, M₂, M₃, and M₄ media used for shoot cultures, microtuber induction, shoot regeneration from tuber-core explants and plant development of potato.

COMPONENT	CULTURE MEDIUM CONCENTRATION (mg l ⁻¹)			
	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄
MS inorganic salts	x1/2	x1	x1	x1
Nicotinic acid	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Pyridoxin HCl	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Thiamin HCl	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5
Glycine	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Biotin	0.05	0.05	-	0.05
Inositol	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Sucrose (% w/v)	3.0	15.0	3.0	2.0
IAA	-	-	0.5	-
Zeatin ^a	-	-	1.7	-
Agar (% w/v)	-	-	0.8	0.8
pH	5.7	5.7	5.9	5.8

^a Added after autoclave sterilization

RESULTS

Microtuber induction

All potato cultivars tested induced microtubers under *in vitro* conditions (Figure 1). Average number of microtubers varied between 1-3 per plant (Table 3). Tuber size ranged from 2 mm to 8 mm in diameter whereas tuber weight was between 0.03-0.28 g/tuber. The results suggested that all tested cultivars of potato have microtuber induction potential under the described conditions and within 4-5 weeks, but tuber size and number varied within and between cultivars (Figure 2).

Microtubers for germplasm conservation

Tubers retained their viability, when the tuber size was >5 mm. This was a common feature for all 6 cultivars subjected to low temperature storage. Tubers smaller than 5 mm were shrunken after 3 years of storage and failed to convert back to rigidity even when kept at room temperature at high humidity (RH >75 %). In contrast, tubers >5 mm were firm, round and healthy in appearance. Such tubers germinated quickly when transferred to soil and produced healthy shoots (Table 4).

Figure 1: Induction of microtubers of potato (cvs. a. Desiree and b. I-1039) under *in vitro* conditions (x 0.8).

Figure 2: Variation in microtuber size of potato cv. Wauseon (x 0.8).

Figure 3: Shoot regeneration from microtuber - core explants of potato cv. Atzimba in M₃ medium (x 0.8)

Table 3 : Characteristics of microtubers of tested potato cultivars.

Cultivar name	Average number of tubers per plant	Tuber diameter (mm $\pm$ sd)	Tuber weight (g $\pm$ sd)
P-55.7	1.8	5 $\pm$ 2	0.09 $\pm$ 0.08
Pamina	1.5	7 $\pm$ 2	0.22 $\pm$ 0.09
225.1	1.5	7 $\pm$ 2	0.28 $\pm$ 0.17
Murca	1.0	6 $\pm$ 1	0.18 $\pm$ 0.03
Saturna	1.0	6 $\pm$ 1	0.14 $\pm$ 0.08
R-128.6	1.0	4 $\pm$ 1	0.09 $\pm$ 0.07
Mex 771949	2.0	3 $\pm$ 1	0.03 $\pm$ 0.02
Serrana Inta	3.0	6 $\pm$ 2	0.16 $\pm$ 0.14
Atlantic	3.0	4 $\pm$ 3	0.09 $\pm$ 0.11
I-1039	2.5	6 $\pm$ 3	0.23 $\pm$ 0.26
TS-2	1.0	5 $\pm$ 1	0.15 $\pm$ 0.07
17/13	1.0	4 $\pm$ 1	0.07 $\pm$ 0.01
BR:112-113	1.5	6 $\pm$ 2	0.16 $\pm$ 0.10
KTT-60.21.19	2.0	6 $\pm$ 2	0.16 $\pm$ 0.12
G-6	1.0	5 $\pm$ 1	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01
Apollo	1.5	7 $\pm$ 2	0.23 $\pm$ 0.20
Muru	1.0	3 $\pm$ 1	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01
(VTN) 2-62-33.3	3.0	3 $\pm$ 1	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01
397 B	1.0	2 $\pm$ 1	0.02 $\pm$ 0.01
San Juan	2.5	4 $\pm$ 2	0.19 $\pm$ 0.08
CEF 67.1	2.0	5 $\pm$ 2	0.15 $\pm$ 0.12

Table 1 cont.

Cultivar name	Average number of tubers per plant	Tuber diameter (mm $\pm$ sd)	Tuber weight (g $\pm$ sd)
L:46/3	3.0	5 $\pm$ 2	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02
Chiquita	2.0	5 $\pm$ 1	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02
Atzimba	3.0	6 $\pm$ 3	0.19 $\pm$ 0.18
Wauseon	3.0	6 $\pm$ 2	0.18 $\pm$ 0.15
G-1	3.0	7 $\pm$ 2	0.20 $\pm$ 0.18
394	3.0	6 $\pm$ 2	0.10 $\pm$ 0.07
381388.34	2.0	7 $\pm$ 2	0.28 $\pm$ 0.23
444	2.2	8 $\pm$ 1	0.22 $\pm$ 0.07
ASN 69.1	2.0	7 $\pm$ 2	0.23 $\pm$ 0.09
G-5	1.0	6 $\pm$ 3	0.19 $\pm$ 0.17
Desiree	3.0	5 $\pm$ 2	0.21 $\pm$ 0.15

Table 4 : Microtuber (>5 mm) germination in soil after 3 years of storage at 4°C under complete darkness .

Cultivar	Number of tubers planted	Number of tubers germinated	Plant appearance
P-55.7	05	05	Healthy
MEX 771949	08	08	Healthy
Pamina	06	06	Healthy
Atlantic	10	09	Healthy
R-128.6	08	08	Healthy
Desiree	08	08	Healthy

Callogenesis and plant regeneration

White-pale green calli were initiated at the cut surfaces of explants, 14 days after initial culture. A cultivar difference was observed in callus formation at the 3rd week, but by the 6th week, this difference was diminished (Table 5). Plant regeneration capacity was high in cvs. 381388.34 and Atzimba which was observed even at the 3rd week (Figure 3). On the other hand, cv. 444 exhibited a poor regeneration potential. Cvs. Atzimba, G-1 and Wauseon possessed many regenerated shoots (Table 5).

Table 5: Callusing and plant regeneration of potato microtuber -core explants in M_3 medium at the 3rd and 6th week.

Cultivar	Explants with callus (%) ^a		Calli with shoots (%) ^b		Number of shoots per callus ^c
	3 weeks	6 weeks	3 weeks	6 weeks	
381388.34	67	100	67	100	2.0
Atzimba	100	100	67	100	3.9
G-1	100	100	33	100	2.5
444	100	100	-	11	2.0
Serrana Inta	67	100	33	67	1.0
Wauseon	33	100	33	67	3.7

^a : Explants with callus (%) = (Calli producing number of explants / Number of explants cultured) x 100

^b : Calli with shoots (%) = (Number of calli with regenerated shoots / Number of explants with calli) x 100

^c : Number of shoots per callus = Number of shoots / Number of calli with regenerated shoots x 100

DISCUSSION

Factors such as the explant, culture medium and incubation temperature on *in vitro* propagation, tuberization and storage of tuberlets have been evaluated.⁹ Enhanced tuberization has been observed with stem cuttings by propagation on the MS medium with the macro nutrient at twice the published concentrations and further supplemented with thiamin HCl (0.05 mgL⁻¹), nicotinic acid (0.05 mgL⁻¹), pyridoxin HCl (0.1 mgL⁻¹), glycine (2.0 mgL⁻¹), coconut water (10 %v/v) and

sucrose (4 %w/v). Subsequent induction and growth of these tuberlets have been achieved (10-22°C) with MS containing BAP (1.0 mgL⁻¹), coumarin (50 mgL⁻¹), coconut water (10 %v/v) and sucrose (8 %w/v). Previously it was reported¹⁰ that MS medium supplemented with BAP (0.5 mgL⁻¹), GA₃ (0.4 mgL⁻¹) and NAA (0.01 mgL⁻¹) for propagation phase and same medium containing BAP (5.0 mgL⁻¹), CCC (500 mgL⁻¹) and sucrose (8 %w/v) for the tuberization phase. On the average, about 10 tuberlets per vessel were obtained in 4 weeks following maintenance in the dark. Recent studies have shown that MS medium without growth regulators favoured the production of microtubers¹¹ and tuber bulking was better in dark than in light.

A higher yield of tuberlets (50 tuberlets/vessel) has been reported¹² using MS medium supplemented with NAA (0.005 mgL⁻¹) for propagation of shoots and MS with BAP (10.0 mgL⁻¹) and sucrose (8 %w/v) for tuberization but tuberlets were formed in 16 weeks. All these studies were primarily aimed at developing protocols for tuberlet production although storage of such tuberlets retaining viability for 12 months at 18 - 22°C in test tubes lined with cotton wad has been reported.⁹ The present study showed the possibility of extending storage life of microtubers for a period of 3 years at low temperature (4°C) although 1-3 tuberlets per plant were obtained.

Storage ability of microtubers at low temperature simplifies germplasm conservation of potato. This method of storing is further attractive as it is safer than field maintenance and since no subculturing is involved (as in *in vitro* plant conservation), more simple and less time consuming. Since all cultivars behaved in a similar manner under darkness at 4°C, this protocol is suitable for establishment of a potato germplasm gene bank with microtubers. It is reported that under these conditions, varietal characteristics of potato retained the ability to regenerate genetically identical plants.¹³ In addition, all tested potato cultivars suggested that these tubers have the potential to behave as an alternative to seed potatoes. Small size of these tubers make them particularly attractive in germplasm exchange programmes where storage and transportation of bulky quantities of potato tubers or delicate *in vitro* plantlets is difficult. During transport microtubers can withstand more prolonged dark periods, rougher handling and wider range of temperatures compared to *in vitro* shoot cultures. On the other hand, microtubers can be produced in the laboratory year around and stored until request is made.

Capacity for *in vitro* tuber formation is considered as a varietal characteristic¹⁴ and the variations such as tuber size and tuber weight may be linked with the same inherent genetic mechanism which usually controls these characters of potato. A QTL (Quantitative Trait Loci) analysis of potato has shown that 11 distinct loci on seven chromosomes were associated with variation in tuberization.¹⁵

A difference in plant regeneration capacity of leaf and rachis tissues of diploid and tetraploid genotypes of potato was reported.¹⁶ Media containing IAA (1.0 mgL^{-1}) and BAP (0.25 mgL^{-1}) or 2iP (0.25 mgL^{-1}) favoured callus formation and shoot differentiation only with the diploid genotypes. Alternatively, plants have been regenerated from tuber discs of potato using three growth media. Cytokinin in the first medium was essential for shoot meristem formation and BAP was found to be the most effective. As GA_3 was essential for both shoot formation and development, it was included in the second medium. Rooting of isolated shoots occurred in a third medium which was free of hormones.^{17,18} In the present study, only two media were used for plantlet production from tuber-core tissues of potato. M_3 medium, which contained zeatin and IAA was used for shoot initiation, hormone-free M_4 medium for root formation and subsequent growth of isolated shoots. The results suggested that microtuber tissues can be used for tissue culture-based potato improvement programmes although varietal differences in shoot regeneration capacity are found.

References

1. Samarasinghe P. W. S. M. (1993) Seed potato production through rapid multiplication techniques. In : *Biotechnology in agricultural development*. (Ed. P. Ganashan & S. Balendira) pp. 55-60. Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka.
2. Jones M. G. K. (1994). *In vitro* culture of potato. In : *Plant cell and tissue culture*. (Ed. I. K. Vasil & T. A. Thorpe) pp . 363-378, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.
3. Rajapakse D. P., Imai T. & Ishige T. (1991). Analysis of potato microtuber proteins by sodium dodecylsulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *Potato Research* **34** : 285-293
4. Shepard J. F., Bidney D. & Shahin E. (1980). Potato protoplasts for crop improvement. *Science* **208** : 17 - 24
5. Evans N. E., Foulger D., Farrer L., Risiott R. & Bright S. W. J. (1986). Variation in explant-derived potato clones over three tuber generations. *Euphytica* **35** : 353 - 361
6. Wheeler V. A., Evans N. E., Foulger D., Webb K. J., Karp A., Frankling J. & Bright S. W. J. (1985). Shoot formation from explant cultures of potato and an examination of chromosomes and morphological variation in the regenerated plants. *Annals of Botany* **55** : 309 - 320
7. Sint Jan V van, Laublin G., Birhman R. K. & Cappadocia M. (1996). Genetic analysis of leaf explant regenerability in *Solanum chacoense*. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture* **47** (1) : 9 - 13

8. Murashige T. & Skoog F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* **15** : 473-497
9. Paet C. N. & Zamora A. B. (1991). *In vitro* tuberization in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) using different protocols and storage of tuberlets. *Philippine Agriculture* **74** (1) : 59-70
10. Tover P., Estrada R., Schilde-Rentschler L. & Dodds J. H. (1985). Induction and use of *in vitro* potato tubers. *CIP Circular* **13** (4) : 1-5
11. Badawi M. A., El-Sayed S. F., Edriss N. H. & El-Barkouki T. M. (1996). Factors affecting production of potato micro tubers from meristem tip *in vitro*. *Egyptian Journal of Horticulture* **22** (2) : 137 - 149
12. Wang P. & Hu C. (1982). *In vitro* mass tuberization and virus-free seed potato production in Taiwan. *Amsterdam Potato Journal* **59** : 33-37
13. Lichkov A. & Dimitrova D. (1995). Maintenance of Bulgarian and introduced potato varieties *in vitro* for breeding purposes. *Rasteniye "dni Nauki* **32** (1/2) : 146-148
14. Dimitrova D., Lichkov A. & Kilifarska M. (1995). Effect of different temperature regimes on the long-term storage of potato *in vitro*. *Rasteniye "dni Nauki* **32** (3) : 60-62
15. Berg J H van den., Ewing E. E., Plaisted R. L., McMurphy S. & Bonierbale M. W. (1996). QTL analysis of potato tuberization. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **93** (3) : 307 - 316
16. Chandra R., Upadhyaya M. D. & Jha K. K. (1985). Regeneration of plants from leaf and rachis tissues of the potato *in vitro*. *Journal of Indian Potato Association* **12** : 88-91
17. Jarret R. L., Hasegawa P. M. & Erickson H. T. (1980a). Effect of medium components on shoot formation from cultured tuber discs of potato. *Journal of American Society of Horticultural Science* **105** : 238-242
18. Jarret R. L., Hasegawa P. M. & Erickson H. T. (1980b). Factors affecting shoot initiation from tuber discs of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Physiologia Plantarum* **49** : 177-184